created by the introduction of new articles)

## C.04.3 - FIDE (DUTCH) SYSTEM

|  | Version to be presented at the 94 ${ }^{\text {rd }}$ FIDE Online Congress in 2023 87 fhime or in 2016. <br> Terms and Definitions added at the $88^{\text {th }}$ FIDE Congress in Goynuk 2017. See https://spp.fide.com/fide-dutch-extras/. | The new part will be replaced after approval. <br> Pairing Guidelines For Programmers are to be reviewed after the changes. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A. 3 | A scoregroup is composed of (all) the players with the same score. - is the coll "coll sco in An <br> A (pairing) bracket is a group of players to be paired. It is composed of players coming from non-empty scoregroup (called resident players) and (possibly) of players who remained unpaired after the pairing of the previous bracket. | As a consequence of the simplification of the pairing process, the special "collapsed" scoregroup has been removed from the system. <br> Same wording as in the more recently redefined Burstein System, except for the "non-empty" attribute, which is a clarification. |
| A.4.b | After two players with different scores have played each other in a round, the higher ranked player receives a downfloat, the lower one an upfloat. <br> A player who, for whatever reason, scores without playing in a round more points than those rewarded for a loss, also receives a downfloat | The main reason for considering players who forfeited or had a zero-point bye to be downfloaters was to prevent them from getting a pairing-allocated bye after already missing a game. <br> Now, the new C. 9 criterion prevents this from happening. |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { A. } 8 \\ & \text { (note) } \end{aligned}$ | The artificial value defined above was chosen in order to be strictly less than the lowest score of the bracket, and generic enough to work with different scoring-point systems and in presence of non-existent, empty or sparsely populated scoregroups that may follow the current one. | The mention of "brackets" was incorrect: a bracket is statically followed by scoregroups. |


| A. 9 | Round-Pairing Outlook <br> The pairing of a round (called round-pairing) is complete if all the players (except at most one, who receives the pairing-allocated bye) have been paired and the absolute criteria C.1-C. 3 have been complied with. The pairing process starts with the top scoregroup, and continues bracket by bracket until all the scoregroups, in descending order, have been used and the round-pairing is complete. <br> If it is impossible to complete a round-pairing, the arbiter shall decide what to do. <br> How if duing this the down (posibly no poduce by the bre jus paired, togethe with the reming plays, do nollow the <br>  pais is paltimate Paing (PpB). Thescor it resident play is all "collaping" scoll the plors with elo the co llo <br>  <br>  B (CU), the which will omp the roung <br> Section B describes the pairing process of a single bracket. <br> Section C describes all the criteria that the pairing of a bracket has to satisfy (in order of priority). <br> Section E describes the colour allocation rules that determine which players will play with White. | The first sentence has been moved to reflect the wording of the recently redefined Burstein System. The same goes for the added parenthetic clause at the end. <br> The removed parts are a consequence of the simplification of the pairing process. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B. 4 | Evaluation of the candidate <br> If the candidate built as shown in B. 3 complies with all criteria $\ddagger$ from C. 1 to C.54), and all the quality criteria from C. 65 to C. 2119 are fulfilled, the candidate is called "perfect" and is (immediately) accepted. Otherwise, apply B. 5 in order to find a perfect candidate; or, if no such candidate exists, apply B.8. | See the new C. 5 (PAB Criterion) -not a strict quality criterion defined in its own sectionand the new C.9, which explain the new wording and references. |
| B. 8 | Actions when no perfect candidate exists <br> Choose the best available candidate. In order to do so, consider that a candidate is better than another if it better satisfies the PAB Criterion (C.5) or a quality criterion (C.65-C.2119) of higher priority; or, all quality criteria being equally satisfied, it is generated earlier than the other one in the sequence of the candidates (see B. 6 or B.7). |  |
| C. 4 | If the PPB (A.9) after the bracket has been paired, its downfloaters, together with the players from all the remaining scoregroups, shall allow the completion of the round-pairing. | The first clause was removed as a consequence of the simplification of the pairing process. <br> The wording has then been adjusted to clarify the goal of the Completion Criterion. |
| C. 5 | PAB Criterion <br> minimize the score of the assignee of the pairing-allocated-bye. | New criterion, introduced to ensure that the pairing-allocated bye always goes to somebody with the lowest possible score (as happens in the other pairing systems). |
| C. 6 | minimize the number of downfloaters (equivalent to: maximize the number of pairs). | The text of the criterion and the note have been reversed to have all "minimize"(s) in the criteria. |
| C. 8 | If the is downfloaters so that first to of arser to minize the PSD (S. 5 and 6 ) in the following bracket fust in following every criterion from C. 1 to C. 7 is complied with. | The first clause has been removed as a consequence of the simplification of the pairing process. <br> The rest is a more synthetic version of the same criterion from the Burstein System. |
| C. 9 | minimize the number of unplayed games of the assignee of the pairing-allocatedbye. | New criterion to align the pairing-allocated bye assignment with what is done in other systems. |

